

23/05/09-19:54

08/809.620 (TE20090523)
Fax to Cassandra for call

Date : May 23, 2009

To : Cassandra SPYROU
Technology Center 28000

Via fax 571 273 8300

From : Goulven VERNIS
8, sentier des Laminaires
56610 ARRADON
France
Tel 33 2 97 44 07 11
Fax 33 2 97 61 11 27
vernois.5678@wanadoo.fr

Application 08/809,620

Object : Call on April 24
Paper documents Summary Interview

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAY 26 2009

Dear Sandie,

There are attached the papers received today.


The postage is from TC1600.

The date of the call is May 01.
It is not possible to accept postdated document.

What must I do ?
I have lost many time with this strange affair.

Guardian Angel, help me !

Goulven



6 sheets with this

23/05/09-19:54

08/809,620 (TE20090523)
Fax to Cassandra for call



03 08/803,620
 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

12/05/08

Call Re 24/04/09

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

→ Cassandra SPYROU 2800

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/809,620	02/05/1998	VERNOIS GOULVEN		7803

7590 05/12/2009
 VERNOIS GOULVEN
 1 RUE DES CHALETS
 VELIZY, 78140
 FRANCE

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, THONG O

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2872

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

05/12/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

US 08/809,620

12/05/2009

Cassandra SPYROU

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/809,620	GOULVEN, VERNIS 2800	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PAUL V. WARD	1624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PAUL V. WARD. (3) GLORIA ANTHONY.

(2) GOULVEN VERNIS. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 01 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/PAUL V. WARD/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624	/J. O. W/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624
---	---

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20090511

US 08/809,620

12/07/09
SPYRON
2800

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews
Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 08/809,620

US 08/809,620

12/05/09


→ Cassandra SP/ROU

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Ward explained to Vernois that Mr. Ward was not the Examiner, but was translating in French for Ms. Anthony what was required to continue prosecution in this case. Mr. Ward and Ms. Anthony explained (in French) to Vernois that in order to continue examining the application, Applicant needed to submit a new set of claims and a fee for a 5 month extension of time to make the Amendment timely and compliant with rule 1.121. Vernois responded by saying that he would submit the new set of claims (by fax) and pay the fee.

M. Ward a expliqué à Vernois que M. Ward n'était pas "l'Examineur", mais traduisait en français pour Mme Anthony ce qui était requis pour continuer à examiner la demande de brevet.

M. Ward et Mme Anthony ont expliqué (en français) à Vernois que pour continuer pour examiner la demande(l'application), le Candidat a eu besoin de soumettre un nouveau jeu de réclamations et des honoraires pendant un 5 mois extension de temps, pour faire l'Amendement opportun et docile avec la Règle/l'Autorité 1. 121. Vernois a répondu en disant qu'il soumettrait le nouveau jeu de réclamations (selon le fax ou télécopie) et payerait les honoraires.

!! → Cassandra SP/RCU
2800



TC1600

DEMARCHE

Organization UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Bldg./Room P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450
If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

ISI

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

PO Box 21995
Auckland Mail Center
Auckland 1142

Official Business
Penalty For Private Use. \$300

AIR MAIL

AIR MAIL

8 Sentier
Laminier

56610 ARRADI